

คาด Loan spread จะสูงขึ้นในปี 2025-26

- จาก Credit rating ในระดับ A- ของ Fitch ซึ่งดีกว่า 1 notch เมื่อเทียบกับ BBB+ ของ TRIS เป็นบวกต่อต้นทุนการกู้ยืมที่จะลดลงในปี 2025-26
- เราคาดว่ากำไรสุทธิปี 2024-26 จะโต 24.9% CAGR สูงที่สุดในกลุ่มบริษัทการเงินที่เราทำการศึกษา
- คงคำแนะนำซื้อและเลือกเป็นหุ้นเด่นหลังปรับราคาเป้าหมายในปี 2025 ที่ 62 บาท

Fitch ให้ National L-T rating ในระดับ A-

Fitch Ratings จัดระดับ Credit rating ของ MTC เป็นครั้งแรกเมื่อวันที่ 12 ก.ย. 2024 โดยให้ Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) ที่เป็นสกุลเงินต่างประเทศในระดับ BB และ National Long-Term Rating ที่เป็นสกุลเงินบาทในระดับ A-(tha) พร้อมแนวโน้ม Stable สำหรับทั้งสอง Credit rating นอกจากนี้ในวันที่ 13 ก.ย. 2024 S&P Global Ratings ยังให้ Long-term issuer credit rating (ตราสารหนี้กลุ่ม high-yield; มีความเสี่ยงน้อยลงในระยะสั้น แต่เผชิญกับความไม่แน่นอนอย่างต่อเนื่องที่สำคัญต่อธุรกิจและสถานะเศรษฐกิจที่ไม่พึงประสงค์) ในระดับ BB- พร้อมแนวโน้ม Stable โดยระบุว่า MTC จะบริหารคุณภาพสินทรัพย์ และต้นทุนความเสี่ยงในการปล่อยสินเชื่อให้ค่อย ๆ ดีขึ้นอย่างยั่งยืนในช่วง 12-24 เดือนข้างหน้า

เป็นบวกต่อต้นทุนในการกู้ยืมใหม่

จากการเปิดเผยของ MTC International Credit rating ของ S&P สอดคล้องกับวิสัยทัศน์ระยะยาวของบริษัทฯ ในการเป็นผู้ให้บริการ Microfinance ระดับสากล โดยเฉพาะในส่วนของแหล่งเงินทุนจากต่างประเทศที่มีความหลากหลายมากขึ้น นอกจากนี้เรามีมุมมองบวกต่อ Long-term Thai baht-denominated bond credit rating ในระดับ A- ที่ Fitch Ratings ให้ ทั้งนี้ Rating ดังกล่าวจะช่วยลดต้นทุนทางการเงินของ MTC สำหรับการออกตราสารหนี้สกุลบาท และการกู้ยืมในประเทศจากสถาบันการเงินในอนาคตโดย Credit spread ระหว่าง BBB+ (ซึ่ง TRIS ให้ในปัจจุบันและไม่เคยเปลี่ยนแปลงมาตั้งแต่ปี 2019) และ A- ล่าสุดอยู่ที่ระดับสูงกว่า 100bps

ปรับเพิ่มประมาณการกำไรปี 2025-26 คิดเป็นการเติบโตที่ 24.9% 3YR CAGR

เราปรับเพิ่มประมาณการกำไรสุทธิปี 2025-26 ขึ้น 3.6-4.5% เพื่อสะท้อนต้นทุนในการกู้ยืมที่ลดลงจากการกู้ยืมใหม่ๆ ทั้งการออกตราสารหนี้และการกู้ยืมจากสถาบันการเงิน ส่งผลให้คาดการณ์การเติบโตของกำไรสุทธิจะเพิ่มเป็น 24.9% CAGR ในช่วงปี 2024-26 เทียบกับ 23.1% ก่อนหน้า นับว่าสูงที่สุดในกลุ่มบริษัทการเงินที่เราศึกษา โดยมีปัจจัยหนุนสำคัญประกอบด้วย 1) การเติบโตของสินเชื่อเฉลี่ยที่ 14.7-19.5% ต่อปี (จากการเปิดสาขาใหม่เชิงรุกในปี 2022-23 และประสิทธิภาพของสาขาที่สูงขึ้น) โดยจะมุ่งเน้นไปที่สินเชื่อประเภทมีหลักทรัพย์ค้ำประกัน; 2) ต้นทุนความเสี่ยงในการปล่อยสินเชื่อ (Credit cost) ที่คาดว่าจะค่อย ๆ ลดลงเป็น 2.67% ในปี 2026; และ 3) Loan spreads ที่คาดว่าจะเพิ่มขึ้นในปี 2025-26


คงคำแนะนำซื้อและเลือกเป็นหุ้นเด่นที่ราคาเป้าหมายปี 2025 ที่ 62 บาท

เรคงคำแนะนำซื้อและเลือกเป็นหุ้นเด่นหลังปรับราคาเป้าหมายไปเป็นปี 2025 ที่ 62 บาทจากปี 2024 ที่ 50 บาท (GGM) ซึ่งคิดเป็นค่า P/BV ที่ 2.91x (จาก 2.82x) และ P/E ที่ 16.1x ภายใต้สมมติฐาน L-T ROE ที่ 19.0% (จาก 18.6%) และ COE ที่ 10.0%

TARGET PRICE	THB62.00
CLOSE	THB50.25
UP/DOWNSIDE	+23.4%
PRIOR TP	THB50.00
CHANGE IN TP	+24.0%
TP vs CONSENSUS	+27.0%

KEY STOCK DATA

YE Dec (THB m)	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Operating profit	6,126	7,866	10,177	11,960
Net profit	4,906	6,292	8,142	9,568
EPS (THB)	2.31	2.97	3.84	4.51
vs Consensus (%)	-	7.6	17.6	16.7
Recurring net profit	4,906	6,292	8,142	9,568
Core EPS (THB)	2.31	2.97	3.84	4.51
Chg. In EPS est. (%)	-	-	3.6	4.5
EPS growth (%)	(3.7)	28.2	29.4	17.5
Core P/E (x)	21.7	16.9	13.1	11.1
Dividend yield (%)	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.8
Price/book (x)	3.3	2.8	2.4	2.0
ROE (%)	16.1	18.1	19.7	19.3
ROA (%)	3.5	3.9	4.3	4.3



Share price performance	1 Month	3 Month	12 Month
Absolute (%)	24.1	14.2	24.8
Relative to country (%)	13.0	5.2	34.6
Mkt cap (USD m)	3,196		
3m avg. daily turnover (USD m)	9.6		
Free float (%)	21		
Major shareholder	Petaumpai Family (67%)		
12m high/low (THB)	51.00/33.75		
Issued shares (m)	2,120		

Sources: Bloomberg consensus; FSSIA estimates



Usanee Liurut, CISA

Fundamental Investment Analyst on Capital Market; License no. 017928
usanee.l@fssia.com, +66 2646 9967

Maeta CherdSATIRAKUL

Research Assistant
maeta.c@fssia.com, +66 2646 9971

Investment thesis

We maintain our top BUY call for MTC with a more favorable view of its profitability, summarized as follows:

- 1) Thanks to its better credit rating of 'A-' from Fitch compared to 'BBB+' from TRIS, the expected funding cost decreases in 2025-26 instead of the stable trend as previously forecast.
- 2) The declining trend of its NPL ratio and credit cost could validate its proactive debt management efforts to tackle the vintage bad debts as well as its prudent credit quality policy of granting new loans in 2023.
- 3) We expect 2024-26 net profit to grow at a 24.9% CAGR, the highest among the diversified finance companies under our coverage. The critical growth drivers comprise average loan growth of 14.7-19.5% p.a. (from aggressive branch openings and improved branch efficiencies), lower credit costs, and higher loan spreads.


Company profile

MTC has provided lending services since 1992, focusing on motorcycle title loans, and commands the highest market share in Thailand. The company has also expanded its business to personal and other title loans such as car, agricultural and land title loans.

www.muangthaicap.com

Principal activities (revenue, 1H24)


- Net interest income - 95.2 %
- Non-interest income - 4.8 %



Source: Muangthai Capital

Major shareholders

- Petaumpai Family - 67.5 %
- Thai NVDR Co., Ltd. - 7.7 %
- Others - 24.9 %



Source: Muangthai Capital

Catalysts

- 1) A faster-than-expected economic recovery in Thailand;
- 2) Government stimulus measures;
- 3) A stronger-than-expected improvement in asset quality;
- 4) Better-than-expected control over operating costs.

Risks to our call

Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board.

Event calendar

Date	Event
Nov 2024	3Q24 results announcement

Key assumptions

Key assumptions	2024E	2025E	2026E
Loan growth	19.55	16.58	14.68
Interest spread	13.84	14.31	14.43
NPL ratio	3.10	3.00	3.00
Credit cost	3.08	2.75	2.67
Cost to income	46.94	46.94	46.94

Source: FSSIA estimates

Earnings sensitivity

			----- 2025E -----	
Loan growth	±2ppt	14.58	16.58	18.58
<i>% change in net profit</i>		<i>(1.2)</i>		<i>1.2</i>
Interest spread (%)	±5bp	14.26	14.31	14.36
<i>% change in net profit</i>		<i>(0.4)</i>		<i>0.4</i>
Credit cost (bp)	±10bp	2.65	2.75	2.85
<i>% change in net profit</i>		<i>1.8</i>		<i>(1.8)</i>

Source: FSSIA estimates


'A-' rating for national L-T rating assigned by Fitch

Fitch Ratings assigned MTC's first credit rating on September 12, 2024 – Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) in foreign currency at 'BB' and the National Long-Term Rating in Thai baht at 'A-(tha)', both with a 'Stable' outlook. Additionally, on 13 September 2024, S&P Global Ratings assigned a 'BB-' long-term issuer credit rating (high-yield bonds; speculative elements, issuer faces major uncertainties and adverse conditions). S&P also assigned a 'Stable' outlook, noting that MTC will manage its asset quality and credit costs, leading to gradual and sustainable improvements over the next 12-24 months.

Favorable for the cost of new borrowings

According to MTC, the international credit rating by S&P aligns with the company's long-term aspiration to become a global microfinance provider, especially those involving more diverse sources of funding from abroad. We also favor its long-term Thai baht-denominated bond credit rating of 'A-' assigned by Fitch Ratings. This rating will lower MTC's financial costs for future bond issuances and domestic borrowing from financial institutions (FIs). The credit spread chart between 'BBB+' (the current TRIS rating, unchanged since 2019) and the recent 'A-' rating is greater than 100bps. Additionally, if market interest rates decline further, this would significantly impact MTC's interest expense trend in 2025, compared to our stable estimate of 4.20% in 2024 (under the assumption of stable market interest rates).

Exhibit 1: Thai Corporate bond spread: A- vs BBB+



Sources: ThaiBMA; FSSIA's compilation

Upward revisions of 2025-26E profit with a 24.9% 3YR CAGR

We have raised our 2025-26 net profit forecasts by 3.6-4.5% to reflect the lower cost of funds from the expected new borrowings from debenture issuances and FIs. The expected net profit growth is elevated to 24.9% CAGR from 2024-26 vs 23.1% previously, the highest among the diversified finance companies under our coverage. The critical growth drivers comprise 1) average loan growth of 14.7-19.5% p.a. (from aggressive branch openings during 2022-23 and improved branch efficiencies), focusing on the secured segment; 2) a steady decline in credit costs to 2.67% in 2026E; and 3) an increase in loan spreads in 2025-26E.

Exhibit 2: Movement of IBD structure and cost of funds in 2024-26E

Unit: THB m	2024E	2025E	2026E
Interest bearing debts	134,775	155,806	177,032
Debentures - outstanding	87,000	101,000	114,000
- maturity		31,558	21,804
Borrowing from FIs	42,000	48,000	55,000
Others	5,775	6,806	8,032
Cost of funds - current	4.20%	3.74%	3.62%
- previous	4.20%	4.20%	4.20%
Loan spread - current	13.84%	14.31%	14.43%
- previous	13.84%	13.85%	13.85%
Net profit - current	6,292	8,142	9,568
- previous	6,292	7,858	9,159
% change	0.0%	3.6%	4.5%
Key assumptions			
Weighted average cost of funds	4.20%	3.74%	3.62%
- Weighted average cost of debentures	2.54%	2.40%	2.31%
- Weighted average cost of borrowings	1.40%	1.08%	1.06%
- Weighted average cost of other borrowings	0.26%	0.26%	0.25%
Borrowing structure			
Debentures	65%	65%	64%
Borrowing from FIs	31%	31%	31%
Others	4%	4%	5%
Total	100%	100%	100%

Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 3: 2024-26 earnings revisions

Key assumptions	New			Previous			Change		
	2024E	2025E	2026E	2024E	2025E	2026E	2024E	2025E	2026E
Net profit (THB m)	6,292	8,142	9,568	6,292	7,858	9,159	0.0%	3.6%	4.5%
EPS (THB)	2.97	3.84	4.51	2.97	3.71	4.32	0.0%	3.6%	4.5%
Target price (THB)	52.00	62.00		50.00	60.00		4.0%	3.3%	
PBV (x)	2.91			2.82					
Long-term ROE	19.0%			18.6%					
Cost of equity	10.0%			10.0%					
Net profit growth (%)	28.2	29.4	17.5	28.2	24.9	16.6			
Average yield	18.04%	18.05%	18.05%	18.04%	18.05%	18.05%			
Cost of funds	4.20%	3.74%	3.62%	4.20%	4.20%	4.20%			
Spread (%)	13.84	14.31	14.43	13.84	13.85	13.85			
Loan growth (%)	19.5	16.6	14.7	19.5	16.6	14.7			
Net interest income growth (%)	15.7	20.9	16.4	15.7	17.9	15.7			
Fee growth (%)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			
Credit cost (included losses from car repossessed) (%)	3.08	2.75	2.67	3.08	2.75	2.67			
Cost to income (%)	46.9	46.9	46.9	46.9	46.9	46.9			


Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 4: MTC – GGM-based 2024 TP

Gordon Growth Model	New	Previous
Sustainable ROE	19.0%	18.6%
g	5.3%	5.3%
ROE-g	13.7%	13.3%
Beta	1.17	1.17
Risk free rate	3.0%	3.0%
Risk premium	6.0%	6.0%
COE	10.0%	10.0%
COE-g	4.7%	4.7%
ROE-g/COE-g (x)	2.91	2.82

Source: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 6: MTC – one-year prospective P/BV band




Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 5: Share price performance of non-banks under coverage, as of 13 September 2024

	Price performance				
	1M (%)	3M (%)	6M (%)	1Y (%)	YTD (%)
TIDLOR TB	30.4	(1.6)	(16.7)	(15.2)	(17.0)
MTC TB	18.2	17.5	8.1	25.6	11.7
SAWAD TB	28.9	13.0	15.6	(2.4)	12.0
SAK TB	15.0	6.9	9.4	9.4	31.0
AEONTS TB	27.3	7.7	(4.1)	(13.6)	(12.5)
KTC TB	13.8	5.2	1.1	(2.7)	4.0
ASK TB	45.7	6.6	(15.7)	(41.1)	(27.1)
THANI TB	17.1	1.0	(1.9)	(27.6)	(10.3)
JMT TB	39.6	12.7	(18.0)	(60.0)	(26.7)
BAM TB	38.2	18.2	(1.1)	(15.3)	15.3
CHAYO TB	53.7	14.4	(21.5)	(43.6)	(24.4)
SETFIN	24.0	8.6	(4.8)	(17.7)	(6.3)
SET	9.3	9.0	2.8	(7.6)	0.6

Source: Bloomberg

Exhibit 7: MTC – one-year prospective PER band



Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 8: MTC – 2Q24 operating summary

	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24	---- Change ----		1H24	Change	% of	2024E	Change
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(q-q%)	(y-y%)	(THB m)	(y-y%)	2024E	(THB m)	(y-y%)
Interest income	5,754	6,063	6,287	6,353	6,580	3.6	14.4	12,933	16.0	46	27,968	19.0
Interest expense	(909)	(1,004)	(1,096)	(1,130)	(1,227)	8.6	35.1	(2,358)	34.2	45	(5,240)	35.9
Net interest income	4,845	5,059	5,191	5,223	5,353	2.5	10.5	10,576	12.6	47	22,727	15.7
Fee and other income	287	236	269	278	252	(9.2)	(12.3)	530	1.7	48	1,096	6.8
Total income	5,132	5,295	5,459	5,500	5,605	1.9	9.2	11,105	12.0	47	23,823	15.3
Operating expenses	(2,463)	(2,391)	(2,496)	(2,630)	(2,660)	1.1	8.0	(5,290)	9.9	47	(11,182)	15.3
PPOP before taxes	2,669	2,904	2,963	2,870	2,945	2.6	10.3	5,815	14.0	46	12,641	15.3
Expected credit losses	(1,017)	(965)	(998)	(858)	(834)	(2.8)	(18.0)	(1,692)	(14.1)	44	(3,875)	(1.5)
Profit after ECL	1,653	1,939	1,965	2,012	2,111	5.0	27.8	4,123	31.8	47	8,766	24.6
Impairment losses on financial assets and HP loans	(183)	(334)	(278)	(276)	(316)	14.5	72.4	(592)	100.8	66	(900)	(0.7)
Operating profit	1,469	1,605	1,687	1,736	1,796	3.4	22.2	3,532	24.6	45	7,866	28.4
Income tax	(269)	(320)	(336)	(347)	(351)	1.4	30.4	(698)	23.7	44	(1,573)	29.0
Net profit	1,200	1,285	1,351	1,389	1,444	3.9	20.3	2,834	24.8	45	6,292	28.2
EPS (THB)	0.57	0.61	0.64	0.66	0.68	3.9	20.3	1.34	24.8	45	2.97	28.2
Loans	131,257	137,081	141,559	145,859	152,934	4.9	16.5	137,081	4.4		165,408	19.5
Interest bearing debt	106,327	112,329	114,770	116,820	123,671	5.9	16.3	112,329	5.6		134,775	17.4
Key ratios	2Q23	3Q23	4Q23	1Q24	2Q24			1H24			2024E	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)			(%)			(%)	
Yield on loan	18.02	18.08	18.05	17.68	17.62			21.02			18.04	
Cost of funds	3.55	3.67	3.86	3.90	4.08			4.10			4.20	
Spread	14.47	14.40	14.19	13.78	13.54			14.21			13.84	
Cost to Income ratio	47.99	45.15	45.72	47.82	47.45			47.64			46.94	
Credit cost (included losses from car repossessed)	3.76	3.87	3.66	3.16	3.08			3.21			3.08	
NPL / Loan	3.41	3.22	3.15	3.06	2.90			2.90			3.10	
LLR / Loan	3.58	3.55	3.65	3.70	3.64			3.64			2.99	
Coverage ratio	105.1	110.3	115.9	120.8	125.5			125.5			115.4	
D/E (x)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.7			3.7			3.7	
IDBE (x)	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.6			3.6			3.6	
S/T debt/total debt (x)	5.3	7.7	11.1	11.6	10.5			10.5			5.3	
Loan growth q-q	5.7	4.4	3.3	3.0	4.9							
Loan growth y-y	23.6	21.1	18.9	17.4	16.5			16.5			19.5	
Loan growth YTD	10.2	15.1	18.9	3.0	8.0			8.0				

Sources: MTC; FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 9: MTC – Staged loans and ECL

MTC	2022	2023	1Q24	2Q24	2022	2023	1Q24	2Q24
	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)	(THB m)
Loans and accrued interest					Staged loans % of total loans			
Stage 1	105,097	124,874	129,909	136,981	87.1	87.1	88.0	88.6
Stage 2	12,009	13,983	13,211	13,242	10.0	9.8	9.0	8.6
Stage 3	3,507	4,461	4,467	4,449	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.9
Total	120,613	143,318	147,587	154,672	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Expected credit loss (ECL)					ECL % of stage loans			
Stage 1	767	1,225	1,490	1,613	0.7	1.0	1.1	1.2
Stage 2	682	1,115	1,078	1,077	5.7	8.0	8.2	8.1
Stage 3	2,237	2,829	2,831	2,871	63.8	63.4	63.4	64.5
Total	3,686	5,169	5,399	5,562	3.1	3.6	3.7	3.6
LLR / Loans	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)				
Stage 1	0.7	1.0	1.1	1.2				
Stage 2	5.7	8.0	8.2	8.1				
Stage 3	63.8	63.4	63.4	64.5				
Total	3.1	3.6	3.7	3.6				
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)				
NPL / TL	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.9				
NPL vs Stage 2 loans / TL	12.9	12.9	12.0	11.4				
LLR / NPL	105.1	115.9	120.9	125.0				
LLR / (NPL vs Stage 2 loans)	23.8	28.0	30.5	31.4				

Sources: MTC; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 10: Peers comparison, as of 13 September 2024

Company name	BBG code	Rec	Share price (LCY)	Target price (LCY)	Up side (%)	PE		PBV		ROE		Div yld	
						24E (x)	25E (x)	24E (x)	25E (x)	24E (%)	25E (%)	24E (x)	25E (x)
Auto title													
Muangthai Capital	MTC TB	HOLD	50.25	62.00	23.4	16.9	13.1	2.8	2.4	18.1	19.7	0.5	0.7
Srisawad Corp	SAWAD TB	HOLD	41.25	37.00	(10.3)	11.9	10.7	1.9	1.6	17.0	16.2	0.3	0.9
Ngern Tid Lor	TIDLOR TB	HOLD	18.00	15.80	(12.2)	11.9	10.2	1.6	1.5	14.5	15.1	2.5	2.9
Saksiam Leasing	SAK TB	BUY	5.45	5.28	(3.1)	13.7	12.0	1.8	1.7	13.7	14.4	3.0	3.5
Unsecured finance													
AEON Thana Sinsap (Thailand)	AEONTS TB	HOLD	140.00	145.00	3.6	10.8	10.2	1.3	1.2	12.8	12.6	3.9	4.1
Krungthai Card	KTC TB	HOLD	45.25	40.00	(11.6)	15.2	14.6	2.9	2.6	20.3	19.0	3.0	3.1
Hire-purchase truck													
Asia Sermkij Leasing	ASK TB	HOLD	14.50	9.60	(33.8)	17.2	13.6	0.7	0.7	4.2	5.3	2.9	3.7
Ratchthani Leasing	THANI TB	HOLD	2.12	1.88	(11.3)	11.5	10.6	1.0	0.9	8.5	8.9	4.8	5.2
AMCs													
Bangkok Commercial Asset Mgmt.	BAM TB	BUY	9.40	11.00	17.0	16.7	15.4	0.7	0.7	4.1	4.4	4.8	5.2
JMT Network services	JMT TB	HOLD	18.70	11.00	(41.2)	16.7	13.8	1.0	1.0	6.1	7.2	3.6	4.4
Chayo Group	CHAYO TB	HOLD	4.12	3.00	(27.2)	16.1	10.4	1.2	1.1	8.1	11.1	1.6	1.4
Average						14.4	12.2	1.5	1.4	11.6	12.2	2.8	3.2

The Chairman of The Board of Directors of Finasia Syrus Securities PCL is also AEONTS's Director.

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Muangthai Capital

Profit and Loss (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Interest Income	19,199	23,500	27,968	32,908	38,011
Interest expense	(2,813)	(3,857)	(5,240)	(5,434)	(6,024)
Net interest income	16,386	19,644	22,727	27,474	31,986
Net fees & commission	792	921	958	996	1,036
Foreign exchange trading income	-	-	-	-	-
Securities trading income	-	-	-	-	-
Dividend income	0	0	0	0	0
Other income	78	105	138	154	131
Non interest income	869	1,026	1,096	1,150	1,167
Total income	17,255	20,669	23,823	28,624	33,153
Staff costs	(8,069)	(9,702)	(11,182)	(13,436)	(15,562)
Other operating costs	-	-	-	-	-
Operating costs	(8,069)	(9,702)	(11,182)	(13,436)	(15,562)
Pre provision operating profit	9,187	10,967	12,641	15,188	17,591
Expected credit loss	(2,433)	(3,934)	(3,875)	(4,011)	(4,632)
Other provisions	(394)	(907)	(900)	(1,000)	(999)
Operating profit	6,360	6,126	7,866	10,177	11,960
Recurring non operating income	0	0	0	0	0
Associates	0	0	0	0	0
Goodwill amortization	-	-	-	-	-
Non recurring items	0	0	0	0	0
Profit before tax	6,360	6,126	7,866	10,177	11,960
Tax	(1,267)	(1,220)	(1,573)	(2,035)	(2,392)
Profit after tax	5,093	4,906	6,292	8,142	9,568
Non-controlling interest	-	-	-	-	-
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	-	-
Other items	-	-	-	-	-
Reported net profit	5,093	4,906	6,292	8,142	9,568
Non recurring items & goodwill (net)	-	-	0	0	0
Recurring net profit	5,093	4,906	6,292	8,142	9,568
Per share (THB)					
Recurring EPS *	2.40	2.31	2.97	3.84	4.51
Reported EPS	2.40	2.31	2.97	3.84	4.51
DPS	0.95	0.21	0.27	0.35	0.41
Growth					
Net interest income (%)	25.4	19.9	15.7	20.9	16.4
Non interest income (%)	3.1	18.0	6.8	5.0	1.5
Pre provision operating profit (%)	32.2	19.4	15.3	20.2	15.8
Operating profit (%)	2.6	(3.7)	28.4	29.4	17.5
Reported net profit (%)	3.0	(3.7)	28.2	29.4	17.5
Recurring EPS (%)	3.0	(3.7)	28.2	29.4	17.5
Reported EPS (%)	3.0	(3.7)	28.2	29.4	17.5
Income Breakdown					
Net interest income (%)	95.0	95.0	95.4	96.0	96.5
Net fees & commission (%)	4.6	4.5	4.0	3.5	3.1
Foreign exchange trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Securities trading income (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Dividend income (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Other income (%)	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.4
Operating performance					
Gross interest yield (%)	18.30	18.03	18.04	18.05	18.05
Cost of funds (%)	3.36	3.66	4.20	3.74	3.62
Net interest spread (%)	14.94	14.37	13.84	14.31	14.43
Net interest margin (%)	15.6	15.1	14.7	15.1	15.2
Cost/income(%)	46.8	46.9	46.9	46.9	46.9
Cost/assets(%)	7.1	7.0	6.8	7.0	7.0
Effective tax rate (%)	19.9	19.9	20.0	20.0	20.0
Dividend payout on recurring profit (%)	39.5	9.1	9.1	9.1	9.1
ROE (%)	18.9	16.1	18.1	19.7	19.3
ROE - COE (%)	8.1	5.3	7.3	8.9	8.5
ROA (%)	4.5	3.5	3.9	4.3	4.3
RORWA (%)	-	-	-	-	-

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted

Sources: Muangthai Capital; FSSIA estimates

Financial Statements

Muangthai Capital

Balance Sheet (THB m) Year Ending Dec	2022	2023	2024E	2025E	2026E
Gross customer loans	119,084	141,559	168,453	196,190	224,908
Allowance for expected credit loss	(3,686)	(5,169)	(4,948)	(5,693)	(6,547)
interest in suspense	1,675	1,969	2,363	2,765	3,180
Net customer loans	117,073	138,359	165,868	193,262	221,541
Bank loans	-	-	-	-	-
Government securities	-	-	-	-	-
Trading securities	-	-	-	-	-
Investment securities	0	0	0	0	0
Cash & equivalents	3,213	2,822	1,347	1,824	2,790
Other interesting assets	-	-	-	-	-
Tangible fixed assets	2,037	2,134	2,348	2,582	2,840
Associates	-	-	-	-	-
Goodwill	-	-	-	-	-
Other intangible assets	-	-	-	-	-
Other assets	5,743	6,841	7,411	8,473	9,699
Total assets	128,066	150,156	176,514	205,711	236,468
Customer deposits	-	-	-	-	-
Bank deposits	-	-	-	-	-
Other interest bearing liabilities	96,143	114,770	134,775	155,806	177,032
Non interest bearing liabilities	2,833	3,469	4,046	4,740	5,581
Hybrid Capital	-	-	-	-	-
Total liabilities	98,976	118,239	138,821	160,547	182,613
Share capital	2,120	2,120	2,120	2,120	2,120
Reserves	26,971	29,797	35,573	43,045	51,736
Total equity	29,091	31,917	37,693	45,165	53,856
Non-controlling interest	-	-	-	-	-
Total liabilities & equity	128,066	150,156	176,514	205,711	236,468
Supplementary items					
Risk weighted assets (RWA)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average interest earning assets	104,892	130,321	155,006	182,321	210,549
Average interest bearing liabilities	83,734	105,456	124,772	145,291	166,419
CET 1 capital	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Total capital	0	0	0	0	0
Gross non performing loans (NPL)	3,507	4,461	4,289	4,962	5,785
Per share (THB)					
Book value per share	13.72	15.06	17.78	21.30	25.40
Tangible book value per share	13.72	15.06	17.78	21.30	25.40
Growth					
Gross customer loans	31.3	18.9	19.0	16.5	14.6
Average interest earning assets	30.5	24.2	18.9	17.6	15.5
Total asset (%)	30.2	17.2	17.6	16.5	15.0
Risk weighted assets (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Customer deposits (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Leverage & capital measures					
Customer loan/deposits (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Equity/assets (%)	22.7	21.3	21.4	22.0	22.8
Tangible equity/assets (%)	22.7	21.3	21.4	22.0	22.8
RWA/assets (%)	-	-	-	-	-
CET 1 CAR (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Total CAR (%)	-	-	-	-	-
Asset Quality (FSSIA's calculation)					
Change in NPL (%)	174.8	27.2	(3.9)	15.7	16.6
NPL/gross loans (%)	2.9	3.2	2.5	2.5	2.6
Allowance for ECL/gross loans (%)	3.1	3.7	2.9	2.9	2.9
Allowance for ECL/NPL (%)	105.1	115.9	115.4	114.7	113.2
Valuation					
Recurring P/E (x) *	20.9	21.7	16.9	13.1	11.1
Recurring P/E @ target price (x) *	25.8	26.8	20.9	16.1	13.7
Reported P/E (x)	20.9	21.7	16.9	13.1	11.1
Dividend yield (%)	1.9	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.8
Price/book (x)	3.7	3.3	2.8	2.4	2.0
Price/tangible book (x)	3.7	3.3	2.8	2.4	2.0
Price/tangible book @ target price (x)	4.5	4.1	3.5	2.9	2.4

* Pre-exceptional, pre-goodwill and fully diluted

Sources: Muangthai Capital; FSSIA estimates

Muangthai Capital PCL (MTC TB)

FSSIA ESG rating

★★★★

68.21 /100

Exhibit 11: FSSIA ESG score implication

Rating	Score	Implication
★★★★★	>79-100	Leading its industry peers in managing the most significant ESG risks which not only better cost efficiency but also lead to higher profitability.
★★★★	>59-79	A mixed track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers.
★★★	>39-59	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been constructively addressed, well-managed and incorporated into day-to-day operations, in which targets and achievements are evaluated annually.
★★	>19-39	Relevant ESG materiality matrix has been identified with key management in charge for progress to be followed up on and to provide intensive disclosure. Most targets are conventional and achievable.
★	1-19	The company has adopted the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), established sustainability management guidelines and fully complies with regulations or ESG suggested guidance from related organizations such as the SET and SEC.

Sources: FSSIA estimates

Exhibit 12: ESG – peer comparison

	FSSIA ESG score	Domestic ratings						Global ratings						Bloomberg	
		DJSI	SET THSI	THSI	CG score	AGM level	Thai CAC	Morningstar ESG risk	ESG Book	MSCI	Moody's	Refinitiv	S&P Global	ESG score	Disclosure score
SET100	69.20	5.34	4.40	4.40	4.76	4.65	3.84	Medium	51.76	BBB	20.87	58.72	63.91	3.72	28.17
Coverage	67.12	5.11	4.15	4.17	4.83	4.71	3.53	Medium	52.04	BB	16.97	56.85	62.09	3.40	31.94
MTC	68.21	--	Y	Y	5.00	5.00	Certified	Low	42.19	AA	--	58.09	42.00	3.31	--
SAWAD	46.52	--	Y	Y	4.00	5.00	--	Medium	43.97	BB	--	20.18	13.00	1.93	40.04
TIDLOR	36.71	--	--	--	4.00	4.00	Certified	Medium	37.03	--	--	23.69	19.00	1.66	--
SAK	45.28	--	Y	Y	4.00	4.00	Certified	High	40.10	--	--	43.87	--	2.02	36.23
TK	15.00	--	--	--	5.00	5.00	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
HENG	20.00	--	--	--	5.00	5.00	Certified	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
S11	13.00	--	--	--	4.00	4.00	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
NCAP	18.00	--	--	--	4.00	4.00	Certified	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Sources: SETTRADE.com; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 13: ESG score by Bloomberg

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
ESG financial materiality scores - ESG score	1.36	1.51	2.07	2.16	2.39	4.00	3.27	3.31
BESG environmental pillar score	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.02	0.03	—
BESG social pillar score	0.37	0.58	1.37	1.37	1.69	4.57	3.27	—
BESG governance pillar score	4.42	4.41	4.57	4.91	4.95	4.95	4.82	—
ESG disclosure score	26.85	32.18	32.89	35.76	39.62	44.88	50.20	52.08
Environmental disclosure score	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	7.28	15.22	32.74	34.40
Social disclosure score	11.67	15.84	17.41	25.70	30.35	31.92	30.35	34.34
Governance disclosure score	68.72	80.52	81.10	81.10	81.10	87.36	87.36	87.36
Environmental								
Emissions reduction initiatives	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Climate change policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate change opportunities discussed	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Risks of climate change discussed	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
GHG scope 1	—	—	—	—	2	7	6	8
GHG scope 2 location-based	—	—	—	—	—	16	18	21
GHG Scope 3	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	1
Carbon per unit of production	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Biodiversity policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Energy efficiency policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total energy consumption	—	—	—	—	9	55	36	69
Renewable energy use	—	—	—	—	—	—	0	—
Electricity used	—	—	—	—	—	32	36	42
Fuel used - natural gas	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Exhibit 14: ESG score by Bloomberg (cont.)

FY ending Dec 31	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Fuel used - crude oil/diesel	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Waste reduction policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hazardous waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Waste recycled	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Waste sent to landfills	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Environmental supply chain management	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Water policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Water consumption	—	—	—	—	—	987	—	—
Social								
Human rights policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Policy against child labor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quality assurance and recall policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Consumer data protection policy	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equal opportunity policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gender pay gap breakout	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Pct women in workforce	—	—	—	—	—	11	11	10
Pct disabled in workforce	—	—	0	0	0	0	—	—
Business ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anti-bribery ethics policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health and safety policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lost time incident rate - employees	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0
Total recordable incident rate - employees	—	—	—	—	1	1	0	0
Training policy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fair remuneration policy	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Number of employees – CSR	2,617	4,185	5,893	7,660	9,576	10,328	11,460	13,005
Employee turnover pct	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	29
Total hours spent by firm - employee training	—	—	—	70,395	60,328	34,082	34,036	78,030
Social supply chain management	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Governance								
Board size	7	7	7	7	8	8	7	7
No. of independent directors (ID)	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4
No. of women on board	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of non-executive directors on board	5	5	5	5	6	6	5	5
Company conducts board evaluations	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of board meetings for the year	6	5	7	7	6	9	8	8
Board meeting attendance pct	100	100	96	100	94	96	100	100
Board duration (years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Director share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Age of the youngest director	56	57	58	59	36	39	40	41
Age of the oldest director	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74
No. of executives / company managers	12	12	12	13	13	12	13	13
No. of female executives	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Executive share ownership guidelines	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Size of audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on audit committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Audit committee meetings	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	7
Audit meeting attendance %	100	100	92	100	92	100	100	100
Size of compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of ID on compensation committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2
No. of compensation committee meetings	—	1	2	2	2	2	3	2
Compensation meeting attendance %	—	100	83	100	83	83	100	100
Size of nomination committee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
No. of nomination committee meetings	—	1	2	2	2	2	1	2
Nomination meeting attendance %	—	100	83	100	83	83	100	100
Sustainability governance								
Verification type	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

Sources: Bloomberg; FSSIA's compilation

Disclaimer for ESG scoring

ESG score	Methodology	Rating																											
The Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) By S&P Global	The DJSI World applies a transparent, rules-based component selection process based on the companies' Total Sustainability Scores resulting from the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA). Only the top-ranked companies within each industry are selected for inclusion.	Be a member and invited to the annual S&P Global Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA) for DJSI. Companies with an S&P Global ESG Score of less than 45% of the S&P Global ESG Score of the highest scoring company are disqualified. The constituents of the DJSI indices are selected from the Eligible Universe.																											
Sustainability Investment List (THSI) by The Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET)	THSI quantifies responsibility in Environmental and Social issues by managing business with transparency in Governance, updated annually. Candidates must pass the preemptive criteria, with two crucial conditions: 1) no irregular trading of the board members and executives; and 2) free float of >150 shareholders, and combined holding must be >15% of paid-up capital. Some key disqualifying criteria include: 1) CG score of below 70%; 2) independent directors and free float violation; 3) executives' wrongdoing related to CG, social & environmental impacts; 4) equity in negative territory; and 5) earnings in red for > 3 years in the last 5 years.	To be eligible for THSI inclusion , verified data must be scored at a minimum of 50% for each indicator, unless the company is a part of DJSI during the assessment year. The scoring will be fairly weighted against the nature of the relevant industry and materiality. SETTHSI Index is extended from the THSI companies whose 1) market capitalization > THB5b (~USD150b); 2) free float >20%; and 3) liquidity >0.5% of paid-up capital for at least 9 out of 12 months. The SETTHSI Index is a market capitalisation-weighted index, cap 5% quarterly weight at maximum, and no cap for number of stocks.																											
CG Score by Thai Institute of Directors Association (Thai IOD)	An indicator of CG strength in sustainable development, measured annually by the Thai IOD, with support from the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET). The results are from the perspective of a third party, not an evaluation of operations.	Scores are rated in six categories: 5 for Excellent (90-100), 4 for Very Good (80-89), 3 for Good (70-79), 2 for Fair (60-69), 1 for Pass (60-69), and not rated for scores below 50. Weightings include: 1) the rights; 2) and equitable treatment of shareholders (weight 25% combined); 3) the role of stakeholders (25%); 4) disclosure & transparency (15%); and 5) board responsibilities (35%).																											
AGM level By Thai Investors Association (TIA) with support from the SEC	It quantifies the extent to which shareholders' rights and equitable treatment are incorporated into business operations and information is transparent and sufficiently disclosed. All form important elements of two out of five the CG components to be evaluated annually. The assessment criteria cover AGM procedures before the meeting (45%), at the meeting date (45%), and after the meeting (10%). <i>(The first assesses 1) advance circulation of sufficient information for voting; and 2) facilitating how voting rights can be exercised. The second assesses 1) the ease of attending meetings; 2) transparency and verifiability; and 3) openness for Q&A. The third involves the meeting minutes that should contain discussion issues, resolutions and voting results.)</i>	The scores are classified into four categories: 5 for Excellent (100), 4 for Very Good (90-99), 3 for Fair (80-89), and not rated for scores below 79.																											
Thai CAC By Thai Private Sector Collective Action Against Corruption (CAC)	The core elements of the Checklist include corruption risk assessment, establishment of key controls, and the monitoring and developing of policies. The Certification is good for three years. <i>(Companies deciding to become a CAC certified member start by submitting a Declaration of Intent to kick off an 18-month deadline to submit the CAC Checklist for Certification, including risk assessment, in place of policy and control, training of managers and employees, establishment of whistleblowing channels, and communication of policies to all stakeholders.)</i>	The document will be reviewed by a committee of nine professionals. A passed Checklist will move for granting certification by the CAC Council approvals whose members are twelve highly respected individuals in professionalism and ethical achievements.																											
Morningstar Sustainalytics	The Sustainalytics' ESG risk rating provides an overall company score based on an assessment of how much of a company's exposure to ESG risk is unmanaged. <i>Sources to be reviewed include corporate publications and regulatory filings, news and other media, NGO reports/websites, multi-sector information, company feedback, ESG controversies, issuer feedback on draft ESG reports, and quality & peer reviews.</i>	A company's ESG risk rating score is the sum of unmanaged risk. The more risk is unmanaged, the higher ESG risk is scored. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>NEGL</th> <th>Low</th> <th>Medium</th> <th>High</th> <th>Severe</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0-10</td> <td>10-20</td> <td>20-30</td> <td>30-40</td> <td>40+</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																	
NEGL	Low	Medium	High	Severe																									
0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+																									
ESG Book	The ESG score identifies sustainable companies that are better positioned to outperform over the long term. The methodology considers the principle of financial materiality including information that significantly helps explain future risk-adjusted performance. Materiality is applied by over-weighting features with higher materiality and rebalancing these weights on a rolling quarterly basis.	The total ESG score is calculated as a weighted sum of the features scores using materiality-based weights. The score is scaled between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better performance.																											
MSCI	MSCI ESG ratings aim to measure a company's management of financially relevant ESG risks and opportunities. It uses a rules-based methodology to identify industry leaders and laggards according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers. <table border="0" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr> <td>AAA</td> <td>8.571-10.000</td> <td>Leader:</td> <td>leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AA</td> <td>7.143-8.570</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>5.714-7.142</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>BBB</td> <td>4.286-5.713</td> <td>Average:</td> <td>a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BB</td> <td>2.857-4.285</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>1.429-2.856</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>CCC</td> <td>0.000-1.428</td> <td>Laggard:</td> <td>lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks</td> </tr> </table>	AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities	AA	7.143-8.570			A	5.714-7.142			BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers	BB	2.857-4.285			B	1.429-2.856			CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks
AAA	8.571-10.000	Leader:	leading its industry in managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities																										
AA	7.143-8.570																												
A	5.714-7.142																												
BBB	4.286-5.713	Average:	a mixed or unexceptional track record of managing the most significant ESG risks and opportunities relative to industry peers																										
BB	2.857-4.285																												
B	1.429-2.856																												
CCC	0.000-1.428	Laggard:	lagging its industry based on its high exposure and failure to manage significant ESG risks																										
Moody's ESG solutions	Moody's assesses the degree to which companies take into account ESG objectives in the definition and implementation of their strategy policies. It believes that a company integrating ESG factors into its business model and relatively outperforming its peers is better positioned to mitigate risks and create sustainable value for shareholders over the medium to long term.																												
Refinitiv ESG rating	Designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes, based on publicly available and auditable data. The score ranges from 0 to 100 on relative ESG performance and insufficient degree of transparency in reporting material ESG data publicly. <i>(Score ratings are 0 to 25 = poor; >25 to 50 = satisfactory; >50 to 75 = good; and >75 to 100 = excellent.)</i>																												
S&P Global	The S&P Global ESG Score is a relative score measuring a company's performance on and management of ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts compared to its peers within the same industry classification. The score ranges from 0 to 100.																												
Bloomberg	ESG Score	Bloomberg score evaluating the company's aggregated Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) performance. The score is based on Bloomberg's view of ESG financial materiality. The score is a weighted generalized mean (power mean) of Pillar Scores, where the weights are determined by the pillar priority ranking. Values range from 0 to 10; 10 is the best.																											
Bloomberg	ESG Disclosure Score	Disclosure of a company's ESG used for Bloomberg ESG score. The score ranges from 0 for none to 100 for disclosure of every data point, measuring the amount of ESG data reported publicly, and not the performance on any data point.																											

Rating regarding the sustainable development of Thai listed companies, both on the SET and MAI, are publicly available on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand (SEC). Currently, ratings available are 1) "CG Score"; 2) "AGM Level"; 3) "Thai CAC"; and 4) THSI. The ratings are updated on an annual basis. FSSIA does not confirm nor certify the accuracy of such ratings.

Source: FSSIA's compilation

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

ANALYST(S) CERTIFICATION

Usanee Liurut, CISA FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Co., Ltd

The individual(s) identified above certify(ies) that (i) all views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal view of the analyst(s) with regard to any and all of the subject securities, companies or issuers mentioned in this report; and (ii) no part of the compensation of the analyst(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed herein.

This report has been prepared by FSS International Investment Advisory Securities Company Limited (FSSIA). The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate; however FSSIA makes no representation as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. Information and opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. FSSIA has no intention to solicit investors to buy or sell any security in this report. In addition, FSSIA does not guarantee returns nor price of the securities described in the report nor accept any liability for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of such information or opinions in this report. Investors should study this report carefully in making investment decisions. All rights are reserved.

This report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person in any manner for any purpose without permission of FSSIA. Investment in securities has risks. Investors are advised to consider carefully before making investment decisions.

Company	Ticker	Price	Rating	Valuation & Risks
Muangthai Capital	MTC TB	THB 50.25	BUY	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board.
Bangkok Commercial Asset Mngt.	BAM TB	THB 9.40	BUY	Downside risks to our NAV-based TP include 1) lower cash collection than estimated; 2) lower-than-expected bad debt acquisition; and 3) a slowdown in the property market.
JMT Network Services	JMT TB	THB 18.70	HOLD	Downside risks to our P/BV-based TP include 1) lower cash collection from both debt management and debt tracking collection services; and 2) higher-than-expected funding costs and operating expenses. Upside risks include 1) better-than-expected purchasing power and cash collection 2) lower-than-expected operating and financing expense.
Chayo Group	CHAYO TB	THB 4.12	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) lower-than-expected bad debt acquisition; and 2) higher-than-expected operating expenses. Upside risks include 1) better-than-expected cash collection performance and lower pressure from ECL, 2) stronger-than-expected loan growth, and 3) better cost control than expected.
Srisawad Corp	SAWAD TB	THB 41.25	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) a further weakening of asset quality that could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) changes in financial regulations by the Bank of Thailand and the Office of Consumer Protection Board. Upside risks include 1) a faster-than-expected decline in losses on sales of repossessed cars for SCAP; and 2) an interest rate downtrend could push its interest spread.
Ngern Tid Lor	TIDLOR TB	THB 18.00	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-based TP include 1) further weakening asset quality could potentially hit both loan yield and credit cost; and 2) tighter supervision from related regulators. Upside risks are 1) lower-than-expected credit costs from better asset quality management; and 2) higher-than-expected loan and insurance premium growth from the positive effects of a more favorable economic situation.
Ratchthani Leasing	THANI TB	THB 2.12	HOLD	Downside risks to our GGM-derived TP include 1) an economic slowdown, especially for logistics activities and private investment; 2) deteriorating asset quality; and 3) changes in financial regulations from the Bank of Thailand. Upside risks include 1) a better-than-expected macroeconomic improvement; 2) a downtrend in the policy rate could reduce cost of funds and enhance interest spreads; and 3) a faster-than-expected decline in ECL expense.
Saksiam Leasing	SAK TB	THB 5.45	BUY	Downside risks include 1) competition from existing and new players; 2) regulatory changes by the Bank of Thailand (BoT); and 3) a slower-than-expected reduction in its cost of funds due to a shift toward more long-term loans.
Aeon Thana Sinsap (Thailand)	AEONTS TB	THB 140.00	HOLD	Downside risks are: 1) regulatory actions to curb industry growth; 2) a higher-than-expected policy rate; and 3) deteriorating asset quality. Upside risks are: 1) better-than-estimated economic improvement; 2) stronger-than-estimated improvement in the overall asset quality; and 3) less pressure from the market yield and cost of funds.
Krungthai Card	KTC TB	THB 45.25	HOLD	Downside risks are: 1) regulatory actions to curb industry growth; 2) a higher-than-expected policy rate; and 3) deteriorating asset quality. Upside risks are: 1) better-than-estimated economic improvement; 2) stronger-than-estimated improvement in the overall asset quality; and 3) less pressure from the market yield and cost of funds.
Asia Sermkij Leasing PCL	ASK TB	THB 14.50	HOLD	Upside risks include 1) a better-than-expected macroeconomic improvement; 2) downtrend of policy rate could reduce cost of funds and enhance interest spreads; and 3) a faster-than-expected decline in ECL expense.

Source: FSSIA estimates

Additional Disclosures

Target price history, stock price charts, valuation and risk details, and equity rating histories applicable to each company rated in this report is available in our most recently published reports. You can contact the analyst named on the front of this note or your representative at Finansia Syrus Securities Public Company Limited.

All share prices are as at market close on 13-Sep-2024 unless otherwise stated.

RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE

Stock ratings

Stock ratings are based on absolute upside or downside, which we define as (target price* - current price) / current price.

BUY (B). The upside is 10% or more.

HOLD (H). The upside or downside is less than 10%.

REDUCE (R). The downside is 10% or more.

Unless otherwise specified, these recommendations are set with a 12-month horizon. Thus, it is possible that future price volatility may cause a temporary mismatch between upside/downside for a stock based on market price and the formal recommendation.

* In most cases, the target price will equal the analyst's assessment of the current fair value of the stock. However, if the analyst doesn't think the market will reassess the stock over the specified time horizon due to a lack of events or catalysts, then the target price may differ from fair value. In most cases, therefore, our recommendation is an assessment of the mismatch between current market price and our assessment of current fair value.

Industry Recommendations

Overweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be positive over the next 12 months.

Neutral. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be maintained over the next 12 months.

Underweight. The analyst expects the fundamental conditions of the sector to be negative over the next 12 months.

Country (Strategy) Recommendations

Overweight (O). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on two or more of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Neutral (N). Over the next 12 months, the analyst expects the market to score positively on one of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.

Underweight (U). Over the next 12 months, the analyst does not expect the market to score positively on any of the criteria used to determine market recommendations: index returns relative to the regional benchmark, index sharpe ratio relative to the regional benchmark and index returns relative to the market cost of equity.